Name of Speaker:  

DATE:  

Topic:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐ ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The speaker clearly described the objective addressed by the authors.

   What was the question/objective?

   ____________________________________________________________

   ____________________________________________________________

2. The speaker provided sufficient background information.

3. The speaker provided a rationale for individual experiments.

4. The speaker presented the methods in sufficient detail.

5. The speaker presented the data clearly and evaluated them critically.

6. I will be able to use some of the presented methods for my own research.

7. Today’s presentation was glycobiology-relevant.

8. Overall, this was a good paper to present.

   Why or why not?

   ____________________________________________________________

   ____________________________________________________________

How could the speaker improve his/her presentation?

   ____________________________________________________________

   ____________________________________________________________