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SUMMARY

Changes in social preference of amphibian larvae result from sustained exposure to kinship odorants. To understand the molecular and cellular mechanisms of this neuroplasticity, we investigated the effects of olfactory system activation on neurotransmitter (NT) expression in accessory olfactory bulb (AOB) interneurons during development. We show that protracted exposure to kin or non-kin odorants changes the number of dopamine (DA)- or gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA)-expressing neurons, with corresponding changes in attraction/aversion behavior. Changing the relative number of dopaminergic and GABAergic AOB interneurons or locally introducing DA or GABA receptor antagonists alters kinship preference. We then isolate AOB microRNAs (miRs) differentially regulated across these conditions. Inhibition of miR-375 and miR-200b reveals that they target Pax6 and Bcl11b to regulate the dopaminergic and GABAergic phenotypes. The results illuminate the role of NT switching governing experience-dependent social preference.

INTRODUCTION

Many animals use olfactory-mediated sibling and non-sibling recognition via kinship odorants to distinguish between siblings and non-siblings. 4-day-old (stage 44) *X. laevis* larvae display attraction and aversion behavior to water-borne kin and non-kin odorants, respectively (Waldman and Adler, 1979). Kin and non-kin refer to odorants while sibling and non-sibling refer to raising conditions. The olfactory basis of kinship recognition was demonstrated by showing that larvae with external nares blocked by a gelatinous paste did not discriminate behaviorally between siblings and non-siblings (Waldman, 1981) and at later stages (Yamazaki et al., 1988) as well as by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) genotype differences (Villinger and Waldman, 2008). Self- and non-self-recognition, which lie at the core of social behavior, are mediated by activation of vomeronasal organ (VNO) neurons in mice and frogs (Ben-Shaul et al., 2010; Chamero et al., 2012; Hagino-Yamagishi et al., 2004). These olfactory neurons express receptors that are activated by peptides cleaved from major urinary proteins (MUPs) or MHC proteins (Kaur et al., 2014; Manning et al., 1992; Sturm et al., 2013). The larval frog olfactory system has been the object of extensive studies (Jungblut et al., 2012; Manzini and Schild, 2010; Sansone et al., 2014). VNO receptor cells project to the accessory olfactory bulb (AOB). These findings suggest that sibling recognition may result from activation of VNO neurons by kinship-signaling odorants, generating activity patterns that lead to circuit plasticity.

Electrical activity and calcium signaling have significant roles in directing several aspects of neuronal differentiation, including neurotransmitter (NT) respecification. Sustained changes in electrical activity by experimental manipulations or by natural sensory stimuli cause the expression of NTs in CNS neurons that otherwise produce different ones, both during development and in the mature nervous system (Borodinsky et al., 2004; Dulcis et al., 2013; Dulcis and Spitzer, 2008; Gu and Spitzer, 1995; Gutiérrez et al., 2003). Transmitter respecification during development occurs in reserve pool neurons characterized by distinct patterns of calcium signaling that combine with transcription factors to create responsiveness to activity manipulations (Demarque and Spitzer, 2010; Dulcis and Spitzer, 2012; Guzménez-Gamboa et al., 2014; Marek et al., 2010; Velázquez-Ulloa et al., 2011).

Here we examine the mechanisms underlying plasticity of social preference in the developing accessory olfactory system of *Xenopus* larvae and the behaviors that ensue. We discover social experience-dependent changes in dopamine (DA) and gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA) expression in granule and periglomerular interneurons of the AOB affecting kinship recognition and preference. These findings may shed light on the olfactory imprinting of fish (Gerlach et al., 2008; Harden et al., 2006; Hino et al., 2009; Johnstone et al., 2011) and neonatal attachment in mammals (Janus, 1993; Kendrick et al., 1997a; Porter and Etschorn, 1974), potentially including humans.
The molecular players coordinating the transcriptional changes at the core of this experience-dependent NT plasticity are largely unexplored. MicroRNAs (miRs) are strong candidates because they mediate post-transcriptional regulation of expression of multiple genes (Bartel, 2004; Izaurralde, 2015). miRs have crucial roles at many steps in neurogenesis and brain development (Li and Jin, 2010; Yoo et al., 2009), including neuronal fate determination (Chang et al., 2004; de Chevigny et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2007). miRs execute and define these complex developmental transitions by targeting the miRNAs encoding key regulatory proteins such as transcription factors and repressors (Visvanathan et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2013). miRs also help brain adaptation to environmental perturbations through activity-dependent forms of plasticity (Krol et al., 2010; McNeill and Van Vactor, 2012). Testing the hypothesis that miRs are involved in kinship-induced DA and GABA plasticity observed in the AOB, we show that miR-200b and miR-375 control Pax6 and Bcl11b expression and produce NT plasticity that determines kinship recognition behavior.

RESULTS

Kinship Odorants Drive an Activity-Dependent DA/GABA Switch in AOB Neurons

Amphibian embryos are laid in clutches, and larvae are normally exposed to kinship odorants after hatching. To investigate whether exposure to these odorants affects NT expression in the AOB, we raised Xenopus larvae with several hundred siblings until they hatched (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1967; stage 39) at 2 days after fertilization. Larvae were then single housed (Figure S1A) and exposed for 2 days (stage 39 to 44) to kin or non-kin odorants or to no odorants (Figure 1A). Brains were fixed, sectioned, and analyzed immunohistochemically (IHC) for the presence of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, a marker for DA expression) and GABA in AOB neurons (Figure 1B). Genetic programs had likely set the baseline NT identity (Spitzer, 2017). We found that the numbers of dopaminergic and GABAergic periglomerular and granule neurons (Figure S1B, arrows and arrowheads) depend on exposure to water-borne kin odorants, non-kin odorants, or the absence of odorants (Figures 1B and 1C; Figure S1C). There was no effect on the total number (mean ± SD) of AOB granule (297 ± 5 non-sibling; 338 ± 26 orphan; 308 ± 27 sibling) and periglomerular (198 ± 30 non-sibling; 191 ± 9 orphan; 197 ± 12 sibling) neurons (Figure 1C) and total cells labeled with the nuclear marker DRAQ5 (Figure 2A). Exposure to kin odorants led to the largest numbers of interneurons expressing only TH and the smallest numbers of interneurons expressing GABA in both granule and periglomerular cells. Exposure to non-kin odorants, in contrast, led to the smallest numbers of neurons expressing only TH and the largest numbers of neurons expressing only GABA. The orphan condition generated the highest number of TH/GABA co-expressing neurons. This result suggests that co-expression is the default NT expression in the absence of odorants, potentially generated in response to remaining spontaneous activity.

To test the activity dependence of kinship-induced NT plasticity, we first quantified AOB interneurons expressing cFos along with TH and/or GABA in response to kin or non-kin odorants. Only a subset of AOB interneurons was cFos+ in response to odorants. In non-sibling conditions, cFos was expressed in many GABA-only cells and GABA/TH co-expressing cells but not detected in TH-only cells. In sibling conditions, cFos was expressed in a small number of GABA-only cells, in a large number of GABA/TH co-expressing cells, and in a significant number of TH-only cells (Figure 1D).

We then implanted drug-loaded agarose beads unilaterally in the AOB at the time of single housing to deliver tetrodotoxin (TTX) to block action potentials or a calcium chelator (BAPTA-AM) to selectively suppress calcium-mediated activity during non-kin or kin exposure. Bead delivery of TTX and BAPTA suppresses sodium channel-dependent action potentials and calcium-mediated activity in Xenopus larvae (Borodinsky et al., 2004; Dulcis and Spitzer, 2008). Because BAPTA-AM and calcein-AM are similar in molecular weight, fluorescent calcein-AM-loaded beads were used to estimate the extent of drug diffusion from the site of implantation (Figure S2C). Layers of cells that were 70 μm from the bead had a level of fluorescence (F1) equal to background (F0) after 24 hr, suggesting that drug application was local. Both TTX and BAPTA reduced the number of GABA neurons and increased the number of TH neurons compared to sham in the non-sibling condition. In the sibling condition, TTX increased the number of both GABA neurons and TH neurons compared to sham (Figure 1E). These results imply that neurons that appear to be respecifying their NT possess activity necessary for responsiveness to sensory circuit activation (Velázquez-Ulloa et al., 2011). Exposure to non-kin odorants (Figure 1E, left) caused the expected large number of GABA+ and small number of TH-only neurons in the AOB contralateral to the bead-implanted side (compare sham with Figure 1C), confirming local application of the drugs.

Kinship Odorants Do Not Change Cell Number and Instead Alter the DA/GABA Phenotype by Acting on a Pax6+ Reserve Pool

The constant number of AOB cells quantified with the nuclear marker DRAQ5 across conditions (Figure 2A) suggests that NT switching is not paralleled by changes in the number of neurons. Experiments testing the presence of cell proliferation (Figures 2B and 2C) revealed that 3 ± 2 GABA+ neurons/AOB section incorporated BrdU following non-kin odorant exposure for 24 hr (stages 39–42). In contrast, non-kin exposure led to 30 ± 12 newly GABA+ neurons/section (the difference between the non-sibling and sibling red GABA+ bars in Figure 2C). Thus, the GABAergic phenotype is expressed in neurons that underwent their last DNA synthesis before the last 24 hr of non-kin exposure, and the increased number of GABA neurons is not due to cellular proliferation. To test whether cell differentiation is affected more generally during NT respecification, we co-labeled AOB TH+ and GABA+ interneurons with doublecortin (DCX), a marker expressed by neuronal precursor cells and immature neurons. Following non-kin-induced GABA respecification (Figure S3A), only 6 ± 4 GABA+ cells/AOB section were DCX+ at stage 42 in contrast with 30 ± 12 newly GABA+ neurons/section (the difference between the non-sibling and sibling red GABA+ bars in Figure S3B). These GABA+DCX+ neurons were not periglomerular (green arrows) or granule GABA+.
Figure 1. Neurotransmitter Phenotype Switching between Sibling and Non-sibling Conditions Is Activity Dependent

(A) Exposure of larvae to different odorants. Larvae cohabit until stage 39 (left). They are then individually housed (middle) during 48 hr exposure to either non-kin (red, non-sibling raising condition) or kin (blue, sibling raising condition) odorants or no odorants (orphan raising condition). AOBs were processed for immunohistochemistry (IHC) at stage 44.

(B) IHC identifies neurotransmitter expression. Triple labeling of TH, GABA, and DRAQ5 in single AOB sections of animals raised in non-sibling, orphan, and sibling conditions. Scale bar, 60 μm.

(C) Raising conditions determine the number of granule neurons/AOB (above) and periglomerular neurons/AOB (below) co-expressing both GABA and TH (yellow) or expressing GABA only (red), TH only (green), or neither (nuclear marker DRAQ5+, blue). Graphs show data points (circles) and the mean ± SD. n = 12 larvae from 3 independent experiments. One-way ANOVA (granule neurons: GABA+TH+: F(2,33) = 233.6, p < 0.0001; GABA+TH-: F(2,33) = 2.137, p < 0.0001; TH+GABA-: F(2,33) = 154.3, p < 0.0001; GABA-TH-: DRAQ5+: F(2,33) = 4.019, p < 0.0001) (periglomerular neurons: GABA+TH+: F(2,33) = 196.3, p < 0.0001; TH+GABA-: F(2,33) = 59.11, p < 0.0001; GABA-TH+: DRAQ5+: F(2,33) = 2.276, p < 0.0001) with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test, except GABA+TH+ (periglomerular neurons), where Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison test was used. Comparisons of each subgroup are between the mean of the orphan condition and the means of non-sibling and sibling conditions. *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001.

(D) The pattern of cFos expression in AOB neurons expressing GABA or TH (stage 44) changes following exposure (48 hr) of larvae to non-kin or kin odorants (non-sibling or sibling condition). Images of sections immunostained for GABA, TH, and cFos show cFos localization in periglomerular neurons. Quantification shows the reduction of cFos expression in TH+ cells and the increase in GABA+ cells induced by non-kin exposure, compared to the sibling condition. Scale bars, 40 μm. Graphs show data points (circles) and the mean ± SD. n = 12 larvae from 3 independent experiments. Unpaired Student’s t test, except TH+GABA- where Mann-Whitney U test was used. ***p < 0.001.

(E) Activity dependence of transmitter re-specification. Horizontal section through the AOB (stage 44) triple labeled for TH, GABA, and DRAQ5 shows that the effect of a TTX-loaded agarose bead on the GABA and TH phenotypes in non-sibling raising conditions is restricted to the AOB surrounding the bead (left; compare with contralateral internal control). Quantification of periglomerular neurons/AOB (right) shows that both TTX and BAPTA reduced the number of GABA neurons and increased the number of TH neurons compared to sham in the non-kin condition. In the kin condition, TTX increased the number of both GABA neurons and TH neurons compared to sham. Cells that do not express GABA or TH are marked by DRAQ5 (blue bar). Scale bar, 30 μm. Graphs show data points (circles) and the mean ± SD, n = 12 larvae from 3 independent experiments. Non-sibling: one-way ANOVA (GABA+TH+: F(2,33) = 33.57, p < 0.0001; GABA+TH-: F(2,33) = 520.1, p < 0.0001; TH+GABA-: F(2,33) = 196.3, p < 0.0001; GABA-TH-: DRAQ5+: F(2,33) = 2.276, p < 0.0001) with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test. Comparisons of each subgroup are between the mean of the sham condition and the means of TTX and BAPTA conditions. Sibling: Mann-Whitney U test (GABA+TH+), unpaired Student’s t test (GABA+TH-), Welch’s t test (TH+GABA-), GABA-TH-: DRAQ5+), ***p < 0.001.

neurons (yellow arrows) of the AOB (Figure S3A) but were located dorsally and ventrally to developed glomeruli (Figures S3A and S3B, blue arrows). They are likely to be future interneurons serving developing glomeruli that will become active after stage 49 when class II olfactory receptors recognizing air-borne odorants are expressed (Mezler et al., 1999). The lack of DCX...
expression in AOB TH+ and GABA+ neurons provides further evidence that this experience-dependent NT respecification is not occurring in newborn neurons.

Since neurons expressing a newly specified NT are not recruited from undifferentiated neurons, we immunostained the AOB for transcription factors Pax6 and Lim1/2, which are associated with dopaminergic differentiation (Hack et al., 2005), to identify the switching reserve pool of neurons (Figure 2D; Figures S1D, S1E, S3C, and S3D). Pax6 expression begins in mouse AOB progenitor cells and is maintained in mature TH+ neurons,
while it is downregulated in cells that differentiate as GABAergic granule neurons (Hack et al., 2005). GABA+ cells were rarely Lim1,2+ (Figure S3D), and its role was not considered further. To test the hypothesis that Pax6+ neurons represent the reserve pool able to respond to NT following exposure to different kinship odorants, we first determined whether Pax6 expression changes in response to different kinship odorant exposure during development. We found that the number of Pax6+ neurons varies across the three kinship-raising conditions (Figure 2D). Pax6 is expressed in all TH+ cells across conditions; however, it is also expressed in 8% of GABA-only cells in the non-sibling condition, 35% of GABA-only cells in the orphan condition, and 50% of GABA-only cells in the sibling condition. These results indicate that the reserve pool of Pax6+ cells is dynamic.

To test whether Pax6 expression is necessary for recruitment to the dopaminergic phenotype during kinship odorant exposure, we electroporated fluorescein-tagged oligo morpholinos selectively targeting Pax6 transcripts (Rungger-Brändle et al., 2010) into the two-cell stage embryo. This electroporation downregulates Pax6 expression during induction of NT respecification in sibling conditions. A dose-response analysis identified the minimal concentration of Pax6 morpholino (10 ng/embryo) required to achieve a marginal eye disruption phenotype (Figure 2E). Knocking down 83% of Pax6 expression blocked 90% of the kin-induced increase in TH-expression. Pax6+ neurons are unlikely to be immature precursors waiting to acquire a NT identity since quantification of the Pax6+ pool double stained for TH and GABA showed that all Pax6+ cells already express either TH or GABA. Additionally, Pax6+ neurons do not express DCX in both kin and non-kin conditions (data not shown). However, we cannot exclude that early Pax6 morpholino treatment may have affected NT switching by a different mechanism other than a purely kin odorant effect.

Because neurogenesis is absent, the sibling condition is likely to recruit a number of GABAergic neurons expressing the Pax6 transcription factor (Figure S1E, arrows) to become dopaminergic neurons expressing Pax6 but no longer expressing GABA. Consistent with the association of Pax6 expression with dopaminergic differentiation, the Pax6 morpholino reduced the number of TH+ cells. This result suggests that neurons switch transmitters in response to kin odorants, losing expression of one (GABA) and gaining expression of another (DA).

**Transmitter Switching Is Partially Reversible and Specific to AOB Neurons**

To test the reversibility of transmitter switching, we first exposed larvae to non-kin odorants for 24 hr (from stage 39 to stage 42) and then exposed these larvae to either kin or non-kin odorants for another 24 hr (stage 42 to stage 44). Granule and periglomerular interneurons were identified by their positions in the AOB. The induction of GABA expression observed after 1 day of exposure to non-kin odorants was not fully reversed by a second day of exposure to kin odorants. However, this second day exposure induced a significant increase in the number of TH+ cells co-expressing GABA (Figure 2F; Figure S4A). These results indicate partial reversibility that may become greater with longer periods of reversed odorant exposure.

In contrast to NT switching in the AOB granule and periglomerular neurons, there was no change in the number of neuropeptide-Y+ (NPY+) neurons located adjacent to AOB GABAergic neurons (Figure S4B) or in the number of TH+ neurons of another dopaminergic nucleus, the suprachiasmatic nucleus (Figure S4C), between sibling and non-sibling raising conditions. To investigate other neuronal components of the AOB circuit, we immunostained the vesicular glutamate transporter (VGLUT1,2) and neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS). This identified the glutamatergic olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs) that provide VNO sensory input to the AOB and the NOS+ mitral cells that are the principal AOB output. We observed a conserved glutamatergic ORN projection pattern and glomerular neuropil and detected no changes in the number of NOS+ mitral cells across conditions (Figures S4D and S4E).

**Neurotransmitter Switching Is Paralleled by Changes in Social Preference**

We next tested kin recognition behavior in groups of 50 free-swimming larvae using a novel larval distribution assay (Figure 3A). We found that different numbers of TH-only and GABA-only neurons are correlated with the way in which AOB circuitry processes kin/non-kin odorants and generates an attractive or aversive response to these odorants. Shortly after odorant-conditioned saline is placed in one of the inserts at each end of the test tank, larvae initiate active swimming that lasts 5–10 min (sampling phase). Each larva can sample the entire length of the container in as little as 5 s (Figure 3A, dashed line). Sibling-raised larvae cluster at one end of the container by swimming either toward the kin odorant-releasing insert (Figure 3B) or away from the non-kin odorant-diffusing insert until they stop swimming after about 10 min (kinship recognition phase). Swimming gradually resumes as the odorant gradient in the solution between the two inserts dissipates, and larvae then distribute equally across the two sides of the tank (rebound phase). Since the differences in larval distribution are most significant after 10 min and 15 min from insert loading, these data points were averaged. A percent larval distribution ratio (LDR) was calculated by dividing the average number of larvae distributed on the side containing the kinship odorant by the total number of larvae for each experiment and condition. The initial LDR value before odorant introduction was always 50%.

Larvae raised in the sibling condition, which is typical in nature, display attraction to kin odorants (60% LDR) and aversion to non-kin odorants (37% LDR) (Figures S5A and SSB; Movie S1 illustrates behavior during 20 s of free swimming). Changes in the numbers of granule and periglomerular interneurons expressing TH and/or GABA obtained by raising larvae in the non-sibling and orphan conditions are correlated with altered kinship recognition behavior. Larvae raised in the non-sibling condition, with an AOB configuration of a high number of GABA-only neurons and reduced number of TH-only neurons, broaden their social preference. These larvae now display an attractive response to non-kin odorants (65% LDR) in addition to kin odorants (Figure 3C; Figures S5C and S5D). Retention of attraction to kin odorants suggests that this is innate or learned during the first 2 days of development and is preserved.
after experience-dependent learning of attraction to non-kin odorants. Larvae raised in the orphan condition, with an AOB configuration characterized by the highest TH/GABA co-expression, display a general reduction of social preference. These larvae exhibit aversion to non-kin odorants (37% LDR) as well as to kin odorants (34% LDR; Figure 3C; Figures S5E and S5F). Loss of the attraction response to kin odorants displayed by orphan larvae suggests that the lack of kinship cue experience during development from stage 39 to stage 44 puts the AOB in a default state that overrides the innate or learned attraction response to kin odorants. We also measured the LDR of sibling-, non-sibling-, and orphan-raised larvae in response to powdered pellets of *Xenopus* food (Zeigler), a mix containing 12 different amino acids. This is a non-social cue and was consistently attractive during changes in social preference across raising conditions, demonstrating specificity of the effects of social cue-induced AOB processing of social preference (Figure 3C; Figures S5G and S5H).

**Newly Dopaminergic Neurons Appear Sufficient to Drive Sibling Recognition**

We then investigated the role of newly dopaminergic neurons in altered kinship recognition behavior, since kin and non-kin odorants may change other features of olfactory circuitry in addition to TH and GABA expression in granule and periglomerular neurons. To detect a causal connection between neurons with newly respecified NTs and altered kinship recognition behavior, we first ablated pre-existing dopaminergic neurons in the AOB by local exposure to the dopaminergic neurotoxin MPTP (Dulcis and Spitzer, 2008) delivered via agarose bead implantation (Figures 4A and 4B; Figure S6B). Dose/response quantification of MPTP efficacy showed that 800 μM MPTP ablates 100% of TH+ interneurons while sparing TH neurons in the AOB as well as TH+ neurons of the neighboring ventral main olfactory bulb (Figure 4C; Figure S6B, arrows). This treatment prevented attraction to kin odorants (50% LDR; Figure 4D), suggesting that dopaminergic neurons in the AOB are necessary for normal processing of kinship odorants to elicit attraction to siblings. We next exposed MPTP-treated larvae to kin odorants for 24 hr to induce DA respecification of spared GABA+TH− reserve pool neurons in the presence of the MPTP blocker deprenyl (Tatton and Greenwood, 1991) (Figure 4A). This treatment led to 88% restoration of attraction to kin odorants (70% LDR; Figure 4D). The functional rescue suggests that newly specified DA-expressing neurons are sufficient to fulfill the dopaminergic requirement mediating kinship recognition behavior toward siblings.

**Selective Blockade of AOB DA or GABA Receptors Suppresses Attraction or Aversion Behaviors**

Because we found that the numbers of GABA- and TH-expressing neurons in the AOB are experience dependent (Figures 1B and 1C), we investigated how GABA and DA contribute to AOB processing of attraction versus aversion behaviors in response to kin and non-kin odorants. To achieve local receptor blockade in the AOB of larvae raised in the sibling condition, we implanted agarose beads impregnated with NT receptor antagonists. Given the involvement of D2 receptors in frog olfactory processing (Davison et al., 2004), we blocked D2 receptors with sulpiride and found that this abolished attraction (50% LDR) to kin odorants without affecting aversion (30% LDR) to non-kin signals (Figure 4E). In contrast, blockade of GABA<sub>AB</sub> receptors by...
application of gabazine and phaclofen in the AOB suppressed aversion toward non-kin odorants (50% LDR) while leaving the ability to recognize siblings intact (65% LDR; Figure 4F).

These experiments identify reciprocal roles for GABA- and DA-expressing AOB interneurons in regulation of attractive and aversive kinship recognition behaviors when larvae are raised.
in the sibling condition. The profiles of GABA-only, TH-only, and GABA/TH neurons in the AOBs of non-sibling and orphan larvae are different from the DA and GABA AOB profiles of sibling larvae, suggesting that each raising condition generates a distinct circuit configuration. Thus, NT switching affecting the locations of synaptic release of GABA and DA within the AOB may lead to the atypical recognition behaviors observed in non-sibling and orphan larvae.

**Small RNA Profiling Identifies miRs Differentially Regulated by Kinship Exposure**

miRs have frequently emerged as regulators of coordinated multicomponent signaling pathways. To test the involvement of miRs in regulating transmitter switching, we sequenced small RNAs from AOB tissue harvested from animals exposed to sibling and non-sibling conditions and obtained close to 400 miRs in each case. To select miR candidates that could mediate NT repulsion, we applied three criteria. First, we focused on microRNAs predicted to regulate neurotransmitter switching, we sequenced small miRs in regulating transmitter switching, we sequenced small mRNA levels (vs U6) in sibling and non-sibling conditions. Third, we identified miRs predicted to regulate genes in the DA or GABA pathways. We included regulatory genes (transcription factors and genes determining neuronal NT identity) and genes involved in NT metabolism (synthetic enzymes, transporters, degradative enzymes, and receptors) (Table S1). Bioinformatics tools were used to identify predicted miR responsive elements (MREs) in each transcript; excluding the miRs that were not predicted to target the transcripts reduced the number for qPCR validation. This strategy produced a list of 18 miR candidates potentially involved in NT switching between dopaminergic and GABAergic phenotypes in sibling and non-sibling conditions (Figures 5A and 5B; Figure S7C).

**miR-375/miR-200b Regulate DA/GABA Phenotype Switching in the AOB**

To validate the top miR candidates, we performed qPCR from three independent clutches of larvae raised in the presence of kin or non-kin odorants. This analysis revealed significantly elevated expression of miR-148a, miR-375, miR-200b, miR-429, miR-214, miR-101, and miR-499 in non-sibling versus sibling conditions (Figure 5C; miR-200b and miR-429 are similar in sequence, and their seeds are identical). We performed a secondary analysis among these kinship-dependent miRs to identify those directly targeting two genes known to be determinants of the dopaminergic and GABAergic phenotypes in the AOB: Pax6 (Hack et al., 2005) and Bcl11b (Enomoto et al., 2011). The expected interactions of these miR candidates with Pax6 and Bcl11b mRNAs were suggested by the presence of their highly conserved MREs on the target genes (Figures S6A and S6B). Interestingly, both miR-375 and miR-200b are predicted to target MREs on Pax6 mRNA; miR-200b, miR-214, miR-101,
and miR-499 are predicted to recognize MREs on Bcl11b mRNA (Figures S7A and S7B). MiR-148a targeted neither Pax6 nor Bcl11b transcripts and was not analyzed further.

To specifically inhibit each miR individually in vivo, we designed (Exiqon) locked nucleic acid (LNA) miR antagonists and delivered them locally in the AOB. The levels of the mRNA for Pax6 and Bcl11b were then determined by qPCR to evaluate their potential roles as regulators of NT respecification. MiR-375 and miR-200b emerged as the most promising candidates (Figures 6C–6E; Figures S7D–S7H). Consistent with previous findings (de Chevigny et al., 2012), the inhibitor for miR-375 (a.375) increased Pax6 levels (Figures 6C and 6D) but had no effect on Bcl11b levels (Figure 6E). MiR-200b, however, appeared to play a double role as a coherent regulator targeting both Pax6 and Bcl11b transcripts (Figures 6C–6E). While the miR-200b MREs on Bcl11b are well conserved, the MREs on Pax6 are nearly exclusive to Xenopus, suggesting species-specific regulation (Figures S6A and S6B). These data indicate that increased miR-375 and miR-200b levels in non-sibling conditions could contribute both to the reduction of TH-only neurons by suppressing expression of the stimulatory Pax6 transcription factor and to the increase in GABA-only neurons by suppressing production of the inhibitory Bcl11b transcription factor.

To determine whether miR-375 and miR-200b regulate mRNA levels of Pax6 and Bcl11b by direct interaction with their transcripts, we utilized LNA target-site blockers (TSBs, Exiqon). A TSB selectively protects the MRE on a specific mRNA by stopping a given miR from binding and repressing its expression, leaving all other mRNA targets unaffected (Lippi et al., 2016) (Figures 6A and 6B, third oligonucleotide pair). Local delivery to the AOB of the TSB protecting the miR-375 MRE on Pax6 (Pax6-375-TSB) increased Pax6 mRNA levels comparable to those achieved with a.375 (Figures 6F and 6G). Similarly, the TSB protecting the miR-200b MRE on Bcl11b (Bcl11b-200b-TSB) upregulated Bcl11b transcripts (Figure 6H). No effect on Pax6 levels in the AOB was observed with TSBs protecting the two miR-200b sites on Pax6 (Pax6-200b-TSB) (Figures 6F and 6G), suggesting that regulation of Pax6 by miR-200b is through a non-canonical MRE or indirect.

To ascertain whether changes in the levels of miR-375 and/or miR-200b transcripts were sufficient to drive changes in the number of dopaminergic and/or GABAergic neurons in vivo, we performed TH/GABA immunostaining on AOBs from antagonist- or TSB-treated larvae raised in the non-sibling condition (Figure 7). Larvae received a.375 or a.200b either by electroporation at an early stage (Figure S6A) or by infusion of in vivo ready LNAs/TSBs at a later stage. Electroporation of a.375 blocked the typical reduction of the dopaminergic phenotype induced by non-kin exposure (Figure 7A). In contrast, electroporation of a control antagonist (a.Ctrl) not targeting any miR under the same conditions did not block the reduction. The ability of miR-375 to repress the dopaminergic phenotype was confirmed when the AOB was infused with an in vivo ready Pax6-375-TSB (Figures 7B and 7E). This experiment demonstrates that miR-375 exerts its inhibitory function by direct interaction with the Pax6 target transcript. Notably, the Pax6-375-TSB had no effect on the number of GABAergic neurons (Figure 7E).

When we infused in vivo ready a.200b into the AOB of larvae raised in non-sibling conditions to selectively block miR-200b function, we observed two distinct effects: a reduction in the number of GABAergic neurons with a simultaneous increase in the number of dopaminergic neurons (arrows, Figures 7C and 7F). The ability of miR-200b to reduce the GABAergic phenotype was confirmed by infusing the AOB with an in vivo ready Bcl11b-200b-TSB (Figures 7D and 7G). This test demonstrates that miR-200b exerts its function on the GABA phenotype by direct interaction with the Bcl11b target transcript. The infusion of Bcl11b-200b-TSB did not, however, replicate the effect of a.200b on the dopaminergic neurons. Since a.200b increases both Pax6 and Bcl11b transcript levels, these data suggest an important role for miR-200b as a regulator of the genetic switch between DA and GABA (Ebert and Sharp, 2012).

**DISCUSSION**

Here we identify cellular mechanisms of activity-dependent neuroplasticity that drive activity-dependent acquisition of social preference. We show that prolonged exposure of sibling larvae to kin odorants drives changes in NT expression from GABA to DA in Xenopus AOB neurons recruited from a GABA+PAX6+ pool, accompanied by behavioral preference for kin odorants. In contrast, sustained exposure of sibling larvae to non-kin odorants induces a DA-to-GABA shift in AOB neurons and a parallel aversion-to-attraction shift in social preference toward the same strain.
non-kin odors. These findings are reminiscent of the mechanism described for acquired imprinting that occurs in early neonatal life in sheep (Kendrick et al., 1997b), resulting in an increase in the ratio of excitatory to inhibitory tone in granule cell synapses onto mitral cells. Orphan-raised larvae have a large number of neurons co-expressing DA and GABA and avoid both kin and non-kin odors. The number of AOB interneurons expressing only DA and only GABA changes in response to developmental exposure to kinship odors in the absence of neurogenesis. NT respecification has been shown to occur through activation of the visual pathway both in the developing amphibian nervous system (Dulcis and Spitzer, 2008) and in the adult rat brain (Dulcis et al., 2013). NT plasticity in the mouse AOB and the main olfactory bulb (Cave et al., 2010) indicates that this form of transmitter reconfiguration can be elicited by activation of other sensory modalities. Induction of NT switching has been described in adult mice (Aumann et al., 2013; Kesby et al., 2017) and may occur in humans (Aumann et al., 2016).

NT switching in a given circuit has powerful consequences for circuit function, since neuromodulation of a single target neuron can dramatically alter the performance of an entire network (Guitierrez and Marder, 2014). Selective blockade of DA or GABA...
transmission in the AOB indicated the specific roles of these two transmitters in kinship recognition displayed by larvae raised in sibling conditions, as described for zebrafish (Scerbina et al., 2012; Souza et al., 2011). Ablation of endogenously dopaminergic neurons in sibling-raised larvae followed by kin odorant induction of newly dopaminergic neurons recruited from the reserve pool affirmed their contribution to attraction to kin. However, experience-dependent NT respecification leading to increased DA and GABA co-expression (orphan condition) led to aversion to both kin and non-kin odorants. Respecification to an increased number of GABA-only neurons (non-sibling condition) led to attraction to both non-kin and kin odorants. We conclude that the different configuration of NT expression and release in the AOB, and not the class of NTs alone, determines the control of aversion versus attraction behaviors toward kinship cues. Reversal of social preference in frogs and zebrafish with sexual maturation (Gerlach and Lysiak, 2006) suggests that experience-dependent DA/GABA transmitter switching in the AOB induced by atypical kinship exposure during development may also be activated in adults.

Cellular changes in NT expression are achieved by genetic regulation mediated by miRs. RNA profiling of the AOB detected miRs that are regulated across kinship conditions and identified miR-375 and miR-200b as key regulators mediating DA and GABA switching (Figure 8). We find that miR-375 inhibits Pax6 through a previously described MRE (Bhinge et al., 2016; de Chevigny et al., 2012). Although the role of Pax6 as a determinant of the dopaminergic phenotype in the olfactory bulb is well established (Brill et al., 2008; Hack et al., 2005; Kohwi et al., 2005; Ninkovic et al., 2010), its regulation by miRs as a developmental switch appeared only recently. miRs regulate transcription factor levels during development to modulate many aspects of neuronal identity (Amin et al., 2015; Bhinge et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2011; de Chevigny et al., 2012; Thiebes et al., 2015).

Because kinship odorants also modulate GABA expression in AOB interneurons, we investigated the functional role of miRs that are upregulated in non-sibling conditions and are predicted to recognize a conserved MRE on transcription factor Bcl11b transcripts. We show that miR-200b, controlling olfactory neurogenesis in mice (Choi et al., 2008) and eye development in X. laevis (Gessert et al., 2010), potentially providing a link between miR-200b-mediated Bcl11b regulation and GABA expression since BDNF stimulates the GABA phenotype of developing spinal neurons (Guemez-Gamboa et al., 2014). Accordingly, we suggest that non-kin odorants detected by ORN receptors increase neural activity in odor-specific pathways, inducing c-Fos expression and driving the activity-dependent increase of miR-200b in VNO interneurons that inhibits translation of its target Bcl11b. A reduction of Bcl11b expression may increase BDNF and upregulate GABA in AOB interneurons.

miR-200b has a pivotal role in reciprocal regulation of DA/GABA phenotype switching. Infusion of a.200b to selectively block miR-200b function in the AOB elicited both a reduction in the number of GABAergic neurons and a coordinated increase in the number of dopaminergic neurons. Since a.200b increases both Pax6 and Bcl11b mRNA levels, it appears to regulate a genetic switch for DA and GABA, sharpening the transition to GABA phenotype by inhibiting both the activator, Pax6, and the repressor, Bcl11b. Future work will determine the molecular basis of NT switching induced by kin odorants. miR-dependent coordination of gene expression regulates behavior in a variety of settings (Gascon et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2013) that can depend on social context (Shi et al., 2013).

How are odor responses linked to miR expression? There are two classes of odor response. The first, in response to a brief exposure to an odor, is behavioral. The second, in response to a sustained exposure to an odor, is metabolic, changing the behavioral response when the larvae are later briefly exposed to a particular odor. Different miRs lead to expression of different NTs, which in turn activate circuits, causing different behaviors. We speculate that the ecological functions of attraction to siblings and avoidance of non-siblings are to promote the survival of the respective gene pools in the face of predation. Sibling
acquisition of attraction to non-siblings, which constitutes a form of adoption, may promote survival of the species. Whether or not there are other longer-term benefits to these behaviors remains to be determined.
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## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Tyrosine Hydroxylase (clone LNC1)</td>
<td>Chemicon/Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#MAB318; RRID: AB_2313764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig polyclonal anti-GABA</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#AB175; RRID: AB_91011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Doublecortin</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat#Ab18723; RRID: AB_732011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Pax6</td>
<td>Covance</td>
<td>Cat#PRB-278P; RRID: AB_2313780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat monoclonal anti-BrdU</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>Cat#OBT0030S; RRID: AB_609570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-VGLUT1,2</td>
<td>Synaptic Systems</td>
<td>Cat#135503; RRID: AB_2285905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-nNOS</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#07-571; RRID: AB_310722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Neuropeptide Y</td>
<td>ImmunoStar</td>
<td>Cat#22940; RRID: AB_10720817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep polyclonal anti-Tyrosine Hydroxylase</td>
<td>Imgenex</td>
<td>Cat#IMG-5070; RRID: AB_317561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat polyclonal anti-cFos</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Cat#Sc-52-G; RRID: AB_2629503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xenopus laevis</em> Accessory Olfactory Bulb (AOB)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcein-AM</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat#C3099; CAS: 148504-34-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAPTA-AM</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat#B6769; CAS: 126150-97-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTX</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#T8024; CAS: 4368-28-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulpiride</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#S8010; CAS: 15676-16-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabazine</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat#1262; CAS: 104104-50-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaclofen</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat#0178; CAS: 114012-12-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprenyl</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#M003; CAS: 14611-52-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRAQ5</td>
<td>BioStatus</td>
<td>Cat#BOS-889-001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricaine</td>
<td>Pentair</td>
<td>Cat#T4514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human chorionic gonadotropin</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>Cat#57176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTP</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#M0896; CAS: 23007-85-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenopus food</td>
<td>Zeigler</td>
<td><a href="http://www.zieglerfeed.com/Literature/Adult%20Xenopus%20Diet.pdf">http://www.zieglerfeed.com/Literature/Adult%20Xenopus%20Diet.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recover All Total Nucleic Acid</td>
<td>Ambion</td>
<td>Cat#AM1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaqMan microRNA assays</td>
<td>Applied Biosystems</td>
<td>Cat#4366596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Probe Library</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>0468363001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarose beads (Affi-gel blue)</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>Cat#153-7302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xenopus laevis</em> pigmented (Lab bred WT adult, 9+ cm)</td>
<td>Xenopus Express</td>
<td>Cat# LB OP XL FM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xenopus laevis</em> pigmented (Lab bred adult, 9+ cm)</td>
<td>Nasco</td>
<td>Cat# LM00531M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom LNA miR inhibitors</td>
<td>Exiqon</td>
<td>Cat#500150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom LNA Target Site Blockers</td>
<td>Exiqon</td>
<td>Cat#500150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENTS AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Davide Dulcis (ddulcis@ucsd.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Establishment of Unrelated Xenopus Lines

To ensure that we used two groups of *Xenopus laevis* embryos that shared no relation to each other, we performed the following crosses: Colony1 \(\times\) Colony1 \(\delta\), yielding “population 1” and Colony2 \(\varphi\) \(\times\) Colony2 \(\delta\), yielding “population 2.” Colony1 frogs were purchased from Nasko; Colony2 frogs were bought from Xenopus Express. These two groups of animals were kept separate throughout the experiments. Ovulation was induced by injection of human chorionic gonadotropin (Merck). Female frogs were primed 12 hr prior to collection and fertilization of eggs. Embryos were allowed to develop for 2 days (to stage 39) in closely packed clusters and then exposed to odorants. The correct developmental stage was determined according to developmental tables (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1967; http://www.xenbase.org/anatomy/alldev.do); following odorant exposure, stage 44 was confirmed by quantification of the total number of DRAQ5+ cells per AOB performed in histological sections.

METHOD DETAILS

Collection of Kinship Odorants

Two days post-fertilization, when the majority of the embryos had hatched, odorants were collected by first washing the embryos with 10% MMR (composition: NaCl (100 mM), KCl (2 mM), MgSO₄ (1 mM), HEPES (5 mM), EDTA (0.1 mM) and CaCl₂ (2 mM) in Fisher water). Concentrated odorant solution was then generated by 125 embryos placed in bottles containing 50 mL 10% MMR for 2 days.

Exposure of Embryos to Odorants

Fifty stage 39 embryos were individually placed in 200 μl of solution conditioned by sibling or non-sibling embryos (Figure S1A). To minimize the accumulation of self-generated kin cues, the solution of individual wells containing single-housed larvae was replaced every 12 hr with fresh, concentrated odorant solution conditioned by the same class of odorants until the larvae had developed to stage 44 (2 days later) and were ready for immunocytochemical examination or behavioral testing.

For reversibility experiments, larvae were first exposed to non-kin odorants for 24 hr (from stage 39 to stage 42), then exposed to either kin or non-kin odorants for another 24 hr (stage 42 to stage 44) followed by processing for immunocytochemistry for TH and GABA.

Local Delivery of Pharmacological Agents

Spatial and temporal control of delivery of pharmacological agents from 80 μm agarose beads loaded with solutions of these agents has proved highly effective (Borodinsky et al., 2004; Dulcis and Spitzer, 2008). Beads were washed in 2 mM calcium saline for 2–4 hr at 22°C and loaded for 1 hr in a bi-directional rotator (Barnstead International) with a Marc’s Modified Ringers (MMR) solution containing 10 μM calcein-AM (Invitrogen), 10 μM BAPTA-AM (Invitrogen), 10 μM TTX (Sigma), 10 mM sulpiride (Sigma) for D₂ DA receptor blockade, 500 μM gabazine/phaclofen (Tocris) for GABAA,B receptor blockade, or 800 μM MPTP (Sigma) for DA neuron ablation. Deprenyl (100 μM, Sigma) was used as MPTP-blocker during kin-induced respecification. Stage 39 larvae were anesthetized with 0.05% tricaine (Pentair) before the lateral margin of the AOB was incised and the bead was inserted (Figures S2A and S2B), then allowed to develop for 24–48 hr before behavioral testing and fixation.
Larvae were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.025% glutaraldehyde in PBS at pH 7.4 for 1–2 h at 4°C, rinsed twice in Dulbecco’s calcium–magnesium-free PBS, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose and embedded in optimal cutting temperature medium (Tissue-Tek) for cryostat sections. 10 μm sections were taken following an anterior to posterior progression through the forebrain. One or 2 night incubation at 4°C with primary antibodies to TH (Imgenex), GABA (Millipore), Doublecortin (Abcam), Pax6 (Covance); BrdU (AbD Serotec); VGLUT 1.2 (Synaptic Systems), nNOS (Millipore), and c-Fos (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) was followed by incubation with fluorescently tagged secondary antibodies for 1 hr 30 min at 20–22°C. Immunoreactivity of stained sections was examined on a SP5 Leica confocal system; z-stacks were acquired to confirm colocalization and to generate through-series projections. TH, the rate-limiting enzyme for DA synthesis, was used as a marker for DA and confirmed by DA immunostaining.

BrdU Staining
To understand whether AOB neurons recruited for transmitter respecification represent newly born cells or pre-existing neurons, cells proliferating during kin/non-kin conditioning were identified by BrdU (Sigma) labeling. Stage 39 larvae were exposed to BrdU by immersion in 4 mg/ml in 10% MMR for 24 hr. Specimens (stage 42 and 45) were fixed and sucrose-cryoprotected. 10 μm cryostat sections were treated for 20 min in 2 M hydrochloric acid (HCl; Fisher Scientific) for antigen retrieval and then washed and incubated with rat anti-BrdU antibody (AbD Serotec) overnight.

Morpholino Knockdown
Morpholino oligomers (Gene Tools) were used to knock down Pax6 expression. The Pax6 morpholino sequence (5’ GCTGTGAC TGTCTGTAGTCTGAC 3’ and concentration (15 ng/embryo) have been shown to be effective in Xenopus embryos (Rungger-Brändle et al., 2010). The manufacturer’s unspecific standard oligo (5’ CCTCTTACCTCAGTAAATTATA 3’) was used as control. The morpholino was fluorescein-labeled to follow its distribution during embryonic development. Effective protein knockdown was quantified by Pax6 immunolocalization.

Larval Distribution Behavioral Assay
A glass tank 9.0 × 21.3 × 3 cm was washed with deionized water and placed on top of a white light source that provided uniform illumination for photography. The tank was leveled and filled with 100 mL of 10% MMR. Two Millipore Millicell culture plate inserts that had been soaked in 10% MMR for an hr were placed on opposite ends of this container, 1.5 cm from the edge. An opaque, white paper barrier was placed around the container to eliminate external visual cues that might distract larvae and affect their swimming. Fifty stage 44 larvae were washed twice in 10% MMR and transferred to the container as a single group, allowed to distribute equally to the two sides of the dish and photographed from above the container to mark the time zero distribution. Liquid was removed from the inserts, one was refilled with 10% MMR and the other at the opposite end was refilled with odorant; the end at which the odorants to the two sides of the dish and photographed from above the container to mark the time zero distribution. Liquid was removed from the inserts, one was refilled with 10% MMR and the other at the opposite end was refilled with odorant; the end at which the odorants were placed was switched for each experiment. To assay the behavioral response to food, powdered pellets of the inserts, one was refilled with 10% MMR and the other at the opposite end was refilled with odorant; the end at which the odorants were crushed and dissolved in 10% MMR to obtain a mix containing 12 different amino acids (Arginine, Lysine, Methionine, Cysteine, Tryptophan, Histidine, Leucine, Isoleucine, Phenylalanine, Tyrosine, Threonine, Valine). Tests were carried out in the morning when swimming is most robust; photographs were taken every 5 min for 30 min to record changes in the distribution of larvae in the container (Movie S1).

The numbers of larvae on each side were photographed, scored and plotted as a function of time (Figures 3A and 3B; Figure S5). A percent larval distribution ratio (LDR) was calculated by dividing the average number of larvae distributed on the side containing the kinship odorant (mean of 15 min and 20 min data points) by the total number of larvae for each experiment and condition.

MicroRNA Analysis
The AOBs of 15 larvae (stage 44) raised in sibling or non-sibling conditions were frozen, cryosectioned at 50 μm and neuronal tissue was harvested with scalpels under the scope for selective AOB isolation. Total RNA was extracted from tissue with the “Recover All Total Nucleic Acid” isolation kit (Ambion). RNA quality was assessed on a Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and degraded samples excluded from RNA sequencing. Library preparation and RNA sequencing (HiSeq, Illumina) were performed at the UCSD Biogem core following manufacturer’s recommendations. To identify miRs potentially involved in NT respecification we compiled a list of proteins (including transcription factors and proteins involved in NT metabolism (Table S1) involved in the production and release of DA and GABA, searching databases available at iHOP, BioGRID, and KEGG Pathway websites. We then used Targetscan (Lewis et al., 2005) to identify predicted miR Responsive elements (MRE) in their 3′-UTRs and cross-referenced this list with the miRs altered by sibling versus non-sibling conditions. Top candidates targeted key genes that were differentially expressed in the three conditions.

Semiquantitative PCR
qPCR was used to measure levels of both miRs and their mRNA targets. Total RNA was extracted as described above. Levels of miRs were assessed using TaqMan microRNA assays (Applied Biosystems) following manufacturer’s recommendations. For reverse transcription, sequence-specific primers were added for each miR being tested and for the housekeeping gene U6. The assays used (assay number in brackets) were: miR-92b (007028_mat); miR-125a (007071_mat); miR-183 (000484); miR-148a (000470); miR-30d (000420); miR-30c (000419); miR-205a (000509); miR-375 (007201_mat); miR-200b (006005); miR-429 (001077); miR-129
Levels of miR-target mRNAs were assessed with Universal Probe Library (UPL) Taqman Assays (Roche), following manufacturer’s recommendations. Reverse transcription was performed as previously described (Lippi et al., 2011). Each qPCR experiment used 3-6 clutches of larvae per condition. Primers for each assay were designed using the Roche online tool (Assay Design Center).

The list of the primers used includes their respective UPL probe:

Pax6a. Forward: catctgaccacactctaca; Reverse: ggttcactgccgggtactt; Probe: 95.
Pax6b. Forward: tgggcaacaatctacctatgc; Reverse: ggttcactgccgggtactt; Probe: 95.
Bcl11b. Forward: cgcttaaaccccatgacaa; Reverse: ttctcttagccttcgggaaa; Probe: 67.
GAPDH. Forward: agggaatcctgggatacacac; Reverse: attccagcatcagcatcaaa; Probe: 25

Real-Time PCR analysis was conducted on a Light Cycler 480 system (Roche), and data were processed and analyzed using the comparative ΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

**MiR Antagonists and TSBs**

Fluorescein-tagged LNA antagonists (Exiqon) were designed to inhibit miR candidates:

- miR-375 (a.375: 5′/56-FAM/ACGCCGAGCCGAACGAACAAA/3′);
- miR-200b (a.200b: 5′/56-FAM/ATTACCAGCCGTATT/3′);
- miR-214 (a.214: 5′/56-FAM/CTGTCTGTCCCTGCTG/3′);
- miR-148a (a.148a: 5′/56-FAM/AAGTTCTGTAGTGCAC/3′);
- miR-101 (a.101: 5′/56-FAM/TCAAGCTATACACAC/3′);
- miR-499 (a.499: 5′/56-FAM/ATCACTGCAAGTCTTA/3′);
- control LNA antagonist (a.Ctrl: 5′/56-FAM/GTGTAACACGTCTATCC/3′).

Fluorescein-tagged LNA TSBs (Exiqon) were designed to protect mRNA targets from miR regulation:

- Pax6-375-TSB (5′/56-FAM/TTTGTTCCAACTGATA/3′);
- Pax6-200-TSB (5′/56-FAM/AAATACTGCTGAACAT/3′);
- Bcl11b-200b-TSB1 (5′/56-FAM/TGAATACTGTAAACG/3′);
- Bcl11b-200b-TSB2 (5′/56-FAM/TACAAATCTGTCAAC/3′);

**Electroporation of miR LNA Antagonists**

Antagonists were electroporated (50 nM) at stage 21-23 (Figure S6A). Successful electroporations exhibited fluorescence localization limited to the AOB at stage 31. Embryos were allowed to develop until stage 39, exposed for 48 hr to non-kin odorants and processed for TH immunocytochemistry at stage 44.

**Infusions of In Vivo Ready Antagonists and TSBs**

Injection needles were prepared from glass capillaries (1 mm OD x 0.5 mm ID; FHC) using a Brown-Flaming electrode puller and loaded with 2 µL of 10 µM 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM)-tagged antagonists or TSB aliquots using Eppendorf microloader tips. Electrode tips were then manually broken under a dissecting microscope using forceps to achieve a size that ejected 5 nL with a 200-250 msec pulse at 30-60 psi. 20-30 stage 39 larvae were transferred to a mesh-lined Petri dish containing 0.05% tricaine in 10% MMR. Larvae were injected unilaterally (one pulse per side) in the AOB just dorsally to the olfactory pit. LNA-injected larvae were transferred to a Petri dish with fresh 10% MMR saline for recovery. Development was monitored until stage 42 when larvae were fixed and sectioned for immunoprocessing.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Data acquisition and quantification were performed blind. Data analysis was performed with Microsoft Excel and Statistical analysis with GraphPad Prism 7. Statistical details (definition of test, exact value of N, means ± standard deviations, p values) are listed in each Figure Legend.

All datasets were tested for normal distribution and equal variances. Departure from normality was assessed with D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test. The variance was calculated with F-test. Grubbs’ test was used to exclude outliers from normally distributed datasets.

Two-groups comparison: Unpaired Student’s t test was used for all experiments with normal distribution and equal variances. Welch’s t test was used when the variances were not equal. Mann-Whitney U test was used for datasets that were not normally distributed.
Three- or more groups comparison: One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by a Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test, was used for experiments with normal distribution. Kruskal-Wallis’ test followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparisons test was used for datasets that were not normally distributed.

For all tests, \( p < 0.05 \) was used as threshold for significant difference. For all tests \( P \) values are two-tailed.

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

For alignment and statistical analysis, RNA sequencing data were first analyzed with Bowtie (version 0.12.8) and mapped reads were aligned with their mature miR (miRNA) sequences using using miRDeep2 with miRBase version-19 (Friedlander et al., 2008; Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones, 2011). miR read counts were quantile normalized using preprocesscore library (Bioconductor 3.1, R 3.2) to determine relative fold changes of miRs between experiments; miR read counts were normalized within each sample (standard score from normal distribution). Due to the limited coverage of the *Xenopus laevis* genome we aligned the small RNA sequencing reads to all known miR databases (miRBase 20), giving priority to closely related species (e.g., *Xenopus tropicalis*). Redundant miRs (for example hsa-miR-1 and mmu-miR-1) were manually excluded based on sequence similarity. Sequence and processed data are available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=gdcrgkkelrchfmv&acc=GSE78854 through GEO: GSE78854.