University Policy on Faculty Conduct and

The Administration of Discipline

The University policy on faculty conduct and the administration of discipline is set forth in its entirety in this policy and in The Faculty Code of Conduct.

Section I -- Introduction and General Policy

This policy, as recommended by the President of the University and approved by The Regents on June 14, 1974, and November 15, 2001, supersedes the President's interim statement on the same subject, issued on January 15, 1971. The present policy is to be read in conjunction with The Faculty Code of Conduct.

The Faculty Code of Conduct is set forth in APM - 015. Part I of The Faculty Code of Conduct notes the responsibility of the administration to preserve conditions that protect and encourage the faculty in its central pursuits. Part II defines normative conditions for faculty conduct and sets forth types of unacceptable faculty conduct subject to University discipline.
Part III makes recommendations and proposes guidelines to assure the development of fair procedures for enforcing the Code.

Authority for discipline derives from The Regents. The Regents have made the Chancellor of each campus responsible for discipline on the campus (Standing Order 100.6(a)), subject to certain procedures and safeguards involving the President and the Academic Senate (Standing Orders 100.4(c) and 103.9 and 103.10).

Section II – Types of Disciplinary Sanctions

Prior to the imposition of any disciplinary sanction(s) as described above, the Chancellor may waive or limit any or all disciplinary sanction(s) on the condition that the accused faculty member performs some specified action(s) designed to address the harm and/or to prevent future harm. Such actions may include, but are not limited to, monetary restitution, repayment of misappropriated resources, compliance with a commitment not to repeat the
misconduct, or other act to make whole injury caused by the faculty member’s professional misconduct or to prevent future misconduct.

If the imposition of a disciplinary sanction is waived, the subsequent failure to perform the required act or otherwise comply with the conditions of the waiver will immediately subject the faculty member to the implementation of the underlying sanction without an additional hearing. The authority to determine whether the faculty member has complied with the conditions of the waiver rests with the Chancellor. The Chancellor may designate a fixed time period for compliance with the terms of the waiver, after which the authority to impose discipline will lapse. If a faculty member disputes the Chancellor’s determination, the faculty member may grieve under applicable faculty grievance procedures.

A Chancellor is authorized to initiate involuntary leave with pay prior to the initiation of a disciplinary action if it is found that there is a strong risk that the accused faculty member’s continued assignment to regular duties or presence on campus will cause immediate and serious harm to the University community or impede the investigation of his or her wrongdoing, or in situations where the faculty member’s conduct represents a serious crime or felony that is the subject of investigation by a law enforcement agency. When such action is necessary, it must be possible to impose the involuntary leave swiftly, without resorting to normal disciplinary procedures. However, within five working days after the imposition of involuntary leave, the Chancellor must explain to the faculty member in writing the reasons for the involuntary leave including the allegations being investigated and the anticipated date
when charges will be brought, if substantiated. Every such document must include the following two statements: (1) the leave will end either when the allegations are resolved by investigation or when disciplinary proceedings are concluded and a decision has been made whether to impose disciplinary sanctions; and (2) the faculty member has the right to contest the involuntary leave in a grievance proceeding that will be handled on an expedited basis. Thereafter, the faculty member may grieve the decision to place him or her on involuntary leave pursuant to applicable faculty grievance procedures. The Divisional Committee on Privilege and Tenure shall handle such grievances on an expedited basis and may recommend reinstatement of pay and back pay in cases where pay status was suspended.

In rare and egregious cases, a Chancellor may be authorized by special action of the President to suspend the pay of a faculty member on involuntary leave pending a disciplinary action. This is in addition to the Chancellor’s power to suspend the pay of a faculty member who is absent without authorization and fails to perform his or her duties for an extended period of time, pending the resolution of the faculty member’s employment status with the University.